

MMP Learning Seminar

Week 91.

Content :

- Bounding length of blow-ups &
- Bounding multiplicities of lc places.

Log canonical thresholds of bounded degree.

Theorem 1.8: Let d, r be natural numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$.

There exists $t := t(d, r, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the following. Assume:

- (X, B) is projective ε -lc of dim d ,
- A very ample on X with $A^d \leq r$, $\leftarrow X$ is bounded
- $A - B$ is pseudo-effective, and \leftarrow controlling (X, B) .
- $M \geq 0$ \mathbb{R} -Cartier \mathbb{R} -divisor, $A - M$ is pseff. Then


$$\text{lct}(X, B, |M|_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq \text{lct}(X, B, |A|_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq t.$$

Remark: In the previous statement $\text{supp } B$ & $\text{supp } M$ are not controlled.

Noether normalization: $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^n$ where $n = \dim X$.
finite surjective

Alexander.

(most of the time not Galois).

 $\{$ M a n -manifold then there exists a branched cover $M \rightarrow S^n$
which branches along a smooth submanifold of $\dim \leq n-2$.

in $\dim = 2$, we branch along points.

in $\dim = 3$, we branch along Borromean circles.

Proposition 5.2 (Finite morphisms to \mathbb{P}^d):

Let $(X, \Delta = \sum_{i=1}^d S_i)$ be a log smooth projective pair of $\dim d$ where Δ is reduced. $B = \sum b_j B_j > 0$ be an \mathbb{R} -divisor. Assume:

- $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^d S_i$,
- $\text{supp } B$ does not contain strata of (X, Δ) except possibly x ,
- A & $A - S_i$ are very ample.

There exists $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ finite surjective, $\pi(x) = z = [1:0:\dots:0]$,

$\pi(S_i) = H_i$, π is étale around z , $\text{supp}(B) \cap \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq x$,

$\deg \pi = A^d$ and $\deg_{H_i}(C) \leq \deg_A B$ where $C = \sum b_j \pi(B_j)$.

Proof: $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d$ global sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(A)$

$X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^d$ finite surjective

□

Fix d, r, ε .

Proposition 5.5 (Bound on number of blow-ups): Assume

- (X, B) projective ε -lc d -dim,
- A very ample $A^d \leq r$,
- (X, Δ) log smooth Δ reduced, $\Delta \geq 0$.
- $\deg_A B \leq r$ and $\deg_A \Delta \leq r$,
- x is zero-dim strata of (X, Δ)
- $\text{supp } B$ does not contain strata (X, Δ) except possibly x
- T is a log canonical place of (X, Δ) with center x .
- $\alpha(T; X, B) \leq 1$.

$$\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^d H_i$$

$$[1:0:\dots:0].$$

Then, T can be extracted by toroidal blow-ups wrt (X, Δ)
 at most $p = p(d, r, \varepsilon)$.

Sketch: $(X, B, \Delta) \xrightarrow{\text{replace}} (\mathbb{P}^d, C, \Theta)$

\uparrow \uparrow
 T R

We do not know if (\mathbb{P}^d, C) is ε -lc away from $Z = [1:0:\dots:0]$.

Aim: modify C so that it is ε' -lc for some ε' only depending on d, r, ε .

Proof: Step 3: $(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(-1) + tC)$ is lc away from z
for some $t \in (0, 1/2)$ only depending $t := t(d, r)$

$(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(-1) + tC)$ will be klt away from $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$.

$y \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$, $y \neq z$. G minimal linear subs which a strata
of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ and contains y . G is positive-dimensional.

$\deg_{H'} C|_G \leq r$, H' is a hyperplane in G .


G is not in the support of C .

Inductively $(G, tC|_G)$ is klt for some $t := t(d, r)$.

Inversion of adjunction implies $(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(-1) + tC)$ is lc in a nbhd of y .

Step 4: We construct Δ such that (\mathbb{P}^d, Δ) is ε' -lc,
 $\varepsilon' = \frac{t}{2} \varepsilon$, $K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ and $\alpha(R, \mathbb{P}^d, \Delta) \leq 1$.

Consider $D = (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \mathbb{Q} + \frac{t}{2} C$. The pair (\mathbb{P}^d, D) is ε' -lc.

If the center contains z , then (\mathbb{P}^d, C) is ε -lc around it. 

If the center does not contain z , this is not a lcc of $(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathbb{Q})$.

$$-(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + D) = -\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right) \underbrace{(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + \mathbb{Q})}_{\deg = 1} - \frac{t}{2} \underbrace{(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + C)}_{\deg \geq d+1-r}$$

Taking t small enough, we can make sure $-(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + D)$ ample.

By construction $\alpha(R, \mathbb{P}^d, D) \leq 1$

Take $0 \leq G \sim_{\mathbb{R}} -(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + D)$ very general &

set $\Delta = D + G$.

Review:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \Delta, B) & \rightsquigarrow & (\mathbb{P}^d, \Theta, C) \end{array}$$

$$\Delta \rightsquigarrow \Omega.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ D \\ \uparrow \\ D \end{array}$$

(\mathbb{P}^d, C) does not have nice sing away from Z .

$(\mathbb{P}^d, \Theta + tC)$ still lc away from Z .

Construct Δ such that (\mathbb{P}^d, Δ) is log CY,

$$\varepsilon' - \text{lc} \quad \& \quad \alpha(R, \mathbb{P}^d, \Delta) \leq 1.$$

Remark: The coefficients of Δ are not controlled.

Step 5: $W' \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{P}^d$ extracts R

$K_{W'} + \Delta_{W'}$ is the pull-back of $K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + \Delta$.
 $\hookrightarrow \varepsilon' - lc$

$-K_{W'}$ is big. Run MMP on $-K_{W'}$.

$W' \dashrightarrow W''$ $-K_{W''}$ is big & semiample

$W'' \longrightarrow W'''$ $-K_{W'''}$ is ample.

$(W', \Delta_{W'}) \dashrightarrow (W''', \Delta_{W'''})$ $\xleftarrow{\text{is } \varepsilon' - lc}$

We conclude that W''' is Fano, toric & $\varepsilon' - lc$.

Then W''' belongs to a bounded family.

It admits a klt n -complement.

We can push-forward this complement to \mathbb{P}^d .

$(\mathbb{P}^d, \Omega) \log C\tau$, $n(K_{\mathbb{P}^d} + \Omega) \sim 0$, and $\alpha(R, \mathbb{P}^d, \Omega) \leq 1$.
 klt

Step 6: $(\mathbb{P}^d, \text{supp}(\Omega + \Theta))$ is log bounded.

$(\mathbb{P}^d, \Omega + u\Theta)$ is lc for some u only
depending on d, r, ε .

We conclude $\mu_R \phi^* \Theta \leq \frac{1}{u}$.

The length of blow-ups is at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{u} - 1 \rfloor$.

□.

Proposition 5.7 (Bound of multiplicities at lcp):

Assume Thm 1.8 in $\dim \leq d-1$.

There exists $q = q(d, r, \underline{n}, \epsilon)$ satisfying the following. Assume:

- (X, B) projective ϵ -lc d -dim,
- A very ample $A^d \leq r$,
- $\Delta \geq 0$ & $\underline{n}\Delta$ integral.
- $L \geq 0$ is an \mathbb{R} -divisor,
- the divisors $A-B$, $A-\Delta$ & $A-L$ are pseudo-effective
- (X, Δ) is lc near x ,
- T is a log canonical place of (X, Δ) with center x .
- $\alpha(T; X, B) \leq 1$.

If Δ is reduced
+
supp B does not
contain strata of
 (X, Δ) other than x

For any resolution $\nu: U \rightarrow X$ so that T is a divisor on U ,
we have that $\mu_{T^*} L \leq q$.

Proof: Cutting with hyperplanes, we may assume x is closed.

We will show the statement when (X, Δ) is log smooth
& Δ is reduced.

Aim: modify B s.t. its support does not contain
strata of (X, Δ) that is not x .

(X, Δ) is log bounded $(X', \Delta') \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$

Step 1: We may assume x is the only 0 -strata of (X, Δ)

Step 2: We show that $(X, (1+t)B)$ is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -lc

away from finitely many points. $t := t(d, n, r, \epsilon)$.

$H \in |\Delta|$ general element.

By Thm 1.8 in dim $d-1$ we know that

$(H, B_H + atB_H)$ is klt

By inversion of adjunction $(X, B + atB)$ is klt near H .

\Downarrow

$(X, B + atB)$ is klt outside
finitely many points

We conclude, taking average that $(X, (1+t)B)$ is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -lc

Step 3: $\psi: \underset{\substack{U \\ T}}{V} \longrightarrow X$ log resolution.

$$\Gamma_V = (1+t)\tilde{B} + (1-\frac{\epsilon}{q})\sum_i E_i + (1-\alpha)T$$

log discrepancies
wrt (X, B)

$$K_V + \Gamma_V = \psi^*(K_X + B) + t\tilde{B} + F \quad \text{where } F = \sum_i (\alpha_i - \frac{\epsilon}{q})E_i.$$

$$K_V + \Gamma_V = \psi^*(K_X + (1+t)B) + G \quad \text{where } G = \sum_i (\alpha_i - \frac{\epsilon}{q})E_i + (\alpha - \alpha)T$$

log discrepancies
wrt $(X, (1+t)B)$.

Step 4: Run a $(K_V + \Gamma_V)$ -MMP over X .

contract all divisors in the support of G with coeff > 0 .

$K_V + \Gamma_V \equiv t\tilde{B} + F/X$, so T is not contracted by this MMP.

$\pi: Y \longrightarrow X$ that extracts T and is an isom

away from finitely points.

Step 5: We construct $0 \leq D_Y$ on Y .

$$A_Y = \pi^* A,$$

$K_Y + F_Y + 3\delta A_Y$ semiample & big.

$$0 \leq D_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t} (K_Y + F_Y + 3\delta A_Y) \quad \text{with coeff} \leq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

$$D_Y = \pi^* H + \tilde{B}_Y + \frac{1}{t} F_Y, \quad \text{for some}$$

$$H \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t} (K_X + B + 3\delta A).$$

Denote by D the push-forward of D_Y to X .

By construction $D = H + B$.

Step 6: (X, B) is ε -lc → very general divisor

$$(Y, \tilde{B} + R_Y) \text{ sub-}\varepsilon\text{-lc.}$$

$$(Y, R_Y + D_Y - \frac{1}{t} F_Y) \text{ is sub-}\varepsilon\text{-lc}$$

\parallel

$$\pi^* (X, D)$$

$$(X, D) \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-lc.}$$

$$\alpha(T, X, D) \leq 1.$$

$$3mA - D \text{ is ample}$$

We can replace
 $B \rightsquigarrow D$.
 & D does not contain strata of
 (X, Δ) diff than α .

\square

$K_Y + \Gamma_Y + 3d A_Y$ semiample & big.

KLE

$A_Y.C \geq 0$ Carrier.

$A_Y.C \geq 1$

$3d A_Y.C \geq 3d$

then the extremal negative rays are spanned by
curves for which $(K_Y + \Gamma_Y).C > -2\dim Y$

Boundedness of extremal lengths.